

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,791	03/10/2004	Thomas Duerbaum	DE 010138A	4510	
24737	7590 03/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B		
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BidAiree	1 WINTON, 141 10510		2838		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/797,791 DUERBAUM ET AL.	•1	·			4·11	
Examiner Rajnikant B. Patel -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATIONIn the priod for reply specified above is less than thiry (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of hithy (30) days will be considered timelyIf No praction reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prior within the statutory minimum of hithy (30) days will be considered timelyIf No praction reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prior within the statutory minimum of hithy (30) days will be considered timelyIf No praction reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provides the first (30) days will be considered timelyIf No praction reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provides (30) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communicationIf the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory provides (30) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, or specified above timely filedIf No practice the provides of the communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any extend place of the communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any extended place of the communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any extended place of the communication of the communication of the communication of communication o		-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	!_jr	
Rajnikant 8. Patel 2838 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply veithin the set or extended price for raply well-by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office address the three mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any veince placed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d			10/797,791	DUERBAUM ET AL.		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estencience if time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period to reply specified above, the mainting date of this communication. If the provision or reply specified above, the mainting date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the mainting date of this communication, and write appir 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office late than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any common part of the specified above. The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any common part of the specific date of the scommunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any common part of the provision of the specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5,8,9,11-13,15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 5,8,9,11-13,15-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Polician may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) i		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limbly filled after 5X (b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the station of the period within the station of the period within the station, and the station of the period within the station, and the station of the period within the period within the period within the period within the period of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any centred platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2005</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim(s) is/are allowed. 4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. By Interplation Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The orange of the contributed copies of t			Rajnikant B. Patel	2838		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (s) MOXITHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maintaint of your days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintaint of your days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (s) MOXITHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of the provision o	Period f		ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in absyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of t	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.8-9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 f	February 2005.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	,		
A) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5.8-9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merit	is is	
4) Claim(s) 5.8.9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposit	tion of Claims				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5,8,9,11-13,15-18 and 21-26</u> is/are p	pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 5.8-9.11-13.15-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠	,	rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•=	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicat	tion Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)					
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	• —	•	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No		
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage	;	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.		

Attac	:hi	m	en	t(s))	
_	7					

1)	\triangle	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)		Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)	LJ	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) 🖳	Other:	
------	--------	--

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 9,16, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schlecht (U.S. Patent # 6,222,742).

Schlecht discloses claimed invention a resonant converter (figure 2-3 and 5), including a multiple output (figure 2, item D1 and D2), a primary winding (figure T1 PRI) and at least two secondary winding (figure 2, item T1 SEC and T1 TER) and different output ratio (column 12, line 60-70 and column 13, line 1-5). Further for different winding direction (figure 2, secondary side dot representation).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2838

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claim 5,11-13,17-18 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,651,267) in combination with Jones (U.S. Patent # 4,533,986) and further in combination with Suzuji et al. (U.S. Patent # Suzuji et al. (4,980,811).

Nguyen discloses claimed invention a resonant converter (figure 4), including a transformer (figure 4, item 20), a primary winding (figure 4, item 20a), at least two secondary (figure 4, item 20b and 20c), at least one inductor series with capacitor and primary winding (figure 4, item L and C), multiple outputs (figure 4, item ID1 and ID2). However Nguyen et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for the resonant frequency determination of the resonant converter and at least two of the secondary winding being electrically connected one another. Jones teaches the utilization of the similar technique for the resonant frequency determination of the resonant converter (column 7, line 45-70 and column 8, line 1-40) and Suzuji et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for and at least two of the secondary winding being electrically connected one another (figure 10, item 124,125 and column 6, line 5-65). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to modify Nguyen et al.'s inverter circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Jones and Suzuji et al. for the purpose of increasing efficiency of the inverter.

Art Unit: 2838

5. Claim 8,15 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,651,267) in combination with Lopez et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,654,879) and further in combination with Suzuji et al. (U.S. Patent # Suzuji et al. (4,980,811).

Nguyen et al. disclose the claimed invention as explained in the claim 5, above except the utilization of the technique for output filter at each output and at least two of the secondary winding being electrically connected one another. Lopez et al. teaches the utilization of similar technique for output filter (figure 1) and Suzuji et al. teaches similar technique for and at least two of the secondary winding being electrically connected one another. It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nguyen et al.'s inverter circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Lopez et al. and Suzuji et al. for the purpose of improving output result.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 2838

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 5,8-9,11-13,15-18 and 21-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,721,191 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Both the sets of claims directed toward a resonant converter comprising: multiple converter outputs, including a transformer having a primary winding and at least two secondary windings wherein the resonant frequency of the resonant converter determined by the main inductance and a leakage inductance of the transformer and by a capacitive element.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 305-7042. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 703-308-1680. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Rajnikant B Patel **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2838